**GO Enrichment** 

Broňa Brejová 12.11.2020

#### Gene list analysis

Many analyses yield lists of genes. Examples:

- genes with positive selection in comparative genomics
- overexpressed or underexressed genes in expression analysis
- genes regulated by a specific transcription factor

Some of genes in a list will have a known function, others may be less studied

## What to do with such a gene list?

- Look at several interesting candidates and study them in detail (bioinformatics / wet lab)
- Determine if the whole set is enriched in genes with some property

   for example, genes under positive selection are often enriched for
   functions in immunity
  - this is caused by evolutionary pressure from pathogens

## Example from Kosiol et al 2008

16,529 genes total

70 genes innate immune response (0.4% of all genes)

400 genes positive selection

8 genes positive selection + innate immune response (2% of pos. sel.)

# **Contingency table**

	Pos.sel.	No pos.sel.	Total
Immunity	8 (n <sub>ip</sub> )	62	70 (n <sub>i</sub> )
Not immunity	392	16067	16459
Total	400 ( $n_p$ )	16129	16529 ( <i>n</i> )

# **Observations:**

Innate immune response only a small fraction of pos.sel.But large enrichemnt from 0.4% to 2%Is it by chance (due to small numbers)?

# Example from Kosiol et al 2008

	Pos.sel.	No pos.sel.	Total
Immunity	8 (n <sub>ip</sub> )	62	70 (n <sub>i</sub> )
Not immunity	392	16067	16459
Total	400 ( $n_p$ )	16129	16529 ( <i>n</i> )

Is enrichment due to chance?

#### Want p-value:

What would be a chance of obtaining such an enrichemnt if positive selection and role in innate immune response independent (null hypothesis)

#### Null hypothesis

	Pos.sel.	No pos.sel.	Total
Immunity	8 (n <sub>ip</sub> )	62	70 (n <sub>i</sub> )
Not immunity	392	16067	16459
Total	400 ( $n_p$ )	16129	16529 ( <i>n</i> )

Urn with  $n_i = 70$  white balls and  $n - n_i = 16459$  black balls Draw  $n_p = 400$  balls from the urn Denote by X the number of white balls in the selection On average we expect  $E(X) = n_p(n_i/n) = 1.7$ In reality we see  $n_{ip} = 8$  pos. sel. genes with role in innate immunity This is  $4.7 \times$  more How likely is this by chance?

#### **Null hypothesis**

Urn with  $n_i = 70$  white balls and  $n - n_i = 16459$  black balls Draw  $n_p = 400$  balls from the urn Denote by X the number of white balls in the selection

Variable X has hypergeometric distribution:

$$\Pr(X = n_{ip}) = \binom{n_i}{n_{ip}} \binom{n - n_i}{n_p - n_{ip}} / \binom{n}{n_p}$$

P-value is  $Pr(X \ge n_{ip}) = Pr(X = n_{ip}) + Pr(X = n_{ip} + 1) + \dots$ Tail of the distribution

In our case  $Pr(X \ge 8) = 0.00028$ 

This is called **Hypergeometric** or Fisher's exact test It can be approximated by  $\chi^2$  **test** 

## Multiple testing correction

Often we do many tests of the same type, for example

- Test 1000 genes for positive selection, select those with p-value  $\leq 0.05$
- Test enrichment of 1000 functional categories in a list of genes, selectthose with p-value  $\leq 0.05$

**Problem:** If each category has 5% chance of being there by chance, we expect 50 purely random results.

If the total number of positive tests was 100, half of them were false.

**Multiple testing correction:** lower threshold on p-value so that false positives do not constitute a large portion of results Several techniques, e.g. FDR (false discovery rate)